

# CMPT 276 Class 13: Implementation Issues

Dr. Jack Thomas

Simon Fraser University

Fall 2020

# Today's Topics

1. **Programming is complex**; how can we combat this?
2. Can we find bugs by **reading each other's code**?
3. Do different **coding styles** help?
4. Can **software reuse** solve our problems?

# Limiting Software Complexity

- Writing software involves working out complex interactions. (McConnell: Code Complete 2, 2004)
  - Developer must reason about single bits up through billions of bytes.
- Beyond human competency:
  - Humans cannot cope with these 10 orders of magnitude all at once.
  - An analogy: think about a scientist trying to work with subatomic particles and galaxies in one calculation.

# Limiting Software Complexity

- Software's **Primary Technical Imperative: Managing Complexity.**
  - We must simplify the problems in order to be able to think about them.
- Use **encapsulation** to reduce cognitive load
  - A good design allows you to forget about details and **work at higher levels.**
  - A bad design requires you to **work at low and high levels simultaneously**, across multiple modules.

# Complexity Example

- Compare the levels of abstraction in the following two competing interface designs to control SkyTrain:

A.

```
int isSpeedReadingValid();  
long getSpeedSensorReading();  
void setBrakeBits(long brakeBitMask);  
void setMotorRPM(long rpm);
```

B.

```
double getSpeedInMps();  
void emergencyStop();  
  
// May speed up or slow down  
void accelerateToNewSpeedInMps(double speedInMps);
```

# Code Reviews

- A code review is having developers **look at source code to find bugs**.
- Can be **informal**: a walk-through by the author to show how code works.
- Can be **formal**: Devs use check-lists of defect types to pre-review code.
  - Have a meeting to review code line-by-line.
  - Record all bugs found.
  - Estimate total number of defects by counting #defects found by 0, 1, or 2 devs during pre-review.

# Practical Code Review Tips

- During a code review look for:
  - **logic errors** (logic backwards, missing else, ...)
  - **poor error handling**
  - **poor security** (buffer overrun)
  - **poor readability/comments**
  - **common errors** (== vs =, null ptr, memory leak)
  - **requirements misunderstanding**
- Can do a “code review” on design, test plans, test code, deployment scripts, etc.
  - Not just for shippable code.

# Theory Side of Code Reviews

- *Code Review Effectiveness* (Jones 1996, in McConnell 2004)
  - **Informal code reviews** catch ~25% of defects
  - **Formal code reviews** catch ~60% of defects
  - **Unit testing** catches ~30% of defects
- If multiple devs do a code review, they find **~20% overlapping bugs**. Therefore, each dev finds different bugs!
- Best to give devs a checklist of things to look for (formal).



# Coding Style

- **Coding is hard!** Developers must actively think about:
  - **Architecture** (design patterns, classes)
  - **Logic** (algorithms)
  - **Low Level** (data types)
  - **Syntactic Issues** (spaces, naming, brackets)
- Syntactic concerns are often "religious" issues
  - Devs feel passionate about tab size (2, 3, 4, 8)
  - Not usually possible to “convert” someone to a new style without a lot of effort.

# Code Style Example

- Linux kernel style guide:
  - Tabs are 8 characters, and thus indentations are also 8 characters. There are heretic movements that try to make indentations 4 (or even 2!) characters deep, and that is akin to trying to define the value of  $\pi$  to be 3.
  - (some text omitted...)
  - Now, some people will claim that having 8-character indentations makes the code move too far to the right, and makes it hard to read on a 80-character terminal screen. The answer to that is that if you need more than 3 levels of indentation, you're screwed anyway, and should fix your program.

# Style Guide

- A style guide **formalizes coding style decisions**.
  - Consistent code style across project makes it faster to read and modify code.
  - Instead of syntactic disagreements, devs can think of improving quality of code design and algorithms.
- Can address some common issues in a language (what kinds of loops to use when, where to declare different variables, whether function brackets should have their own line, and other fine-grained syntax issues)
- (Example style guide available on the course website)

# Reuse Cost

- Reusing well tested components can improve the quality of your system.
- **But, it's not free.**
  - Must **find and evaluate** existing components.
  - Must **spend time to integrate** into new system.
- **Reuse can cause errors**
  - Some disasters caused by reusing software which had an unknown bug.
  - We tend not to test them well enough because **we trust the reused components too much.**

# Caution on Reuse

- Ariane 5 rocket: Initial test flight self-destructed.
  - Reused a module from Ariane 4 which converted a floating point number to a 16bit integer.
  - Ariane 4 rocket never encountered an error.
  - Exception handling was turned off for efficiency.
  - Both primary and backup computers encountered the error at the same time and shutdown.



# Caution on Reuse

- Therac-25: Canadian made radiation therapy machine. Failure killed people.
  - Reused buggy software that \*relied\* on hardware safeties, which were left out in the later version.
- Reuse of components can lead to overconfidence.

# Summary

- Primary technical imperative: manage complexity.
- Formal code reviews more effective at finding defects than informal ones or unit testing.
- Use a style guide to free developer from syntactic decisions.
  - Can instead focus on higher-level issues.
- Consider possible reuse of existing software.
  - Beware of over confidence.