

Topics

- How can one program handle (very?) many requests?
 - Specifically a server handle many TCP clients?

TCP Server Recap

- Recall that on a TCP server:
 - We open the first socket and call accept()
 - accept() will return

. .

How can we make our server work with multiple client sockets?

Idea 1: Thread per Connection

• Idea 1:

- ..

- This thread handles the new client's socket.
- Pros:
 - Handle multiple clients cleanly.
- Cons:

- .

Idea 2: Non-Blocking Sockets

- Non-blocking accept() will either:
 - a) accept a new connection immediately or
 - b) or return immediately if no incoming connection.
 - Also use non-blocking read() and write()
- Idea 2:
 - ..
 - General Idea:

Server will infinitely loop through calling:

- non-blocking-accept to add any new socket to array
- non-blocking-read or non-bloccking-write (or both) on each socket in array as needed
- Pros: Avoids creating new processes/threads
- Cons: ..

Idea 3: Kernel Notify on Socket Event

• Idea 3:

٠.

 Use non-blocking sockets and kernel notifies program on socket events.

•

- Use syscalls to monitor multiple file descriptors.
- Program is notified when

• •

Use: select(), poll(), and epoll()

Idea 3: (cont)

- Generally speaking, this is how I/O multiplexing works:
 - We add file descriptors to the monitored list.
 - We indicate what events we want to monitor the file descriptors for, e.g., read and write.
 - We call the blocking function to wait for an event,
 e.g., select() or epoll()
 - When it returns, check which file descriptors can perform I/O.
 - We perform the I/O.
- Pros:
 - No thread overhead, no polling.
- Cons:

- ..

Idea 3: Implementing Sketch with epoll

3 Calls to implement I/O Multiplexing with epoll():

```
epoll_create()
```

- Returns an epoll instance.
- We can think of this as a

- -

epoll_ctl()

- Allows us to

• •

- Start by monitoring socket for accept()
- Each new FD from accept() is added to set to monitor

epoll_wait()

Waits for a file descriptor to be available for I/O

ABCD: Server choices

- Match the server implementation idea with the problem it suffers:
 - 1) Non-blocking IO in a loop
 - 2) epoll() to watch sockets
 - 3) Thread per client
 - a) More complex code
 - b) Only handle one socket at a time.
 - c) More likely to use too much system resources (such as RAM), or too high kernel overhead.
 - d) Wastes CPU Time

Summary

- accept() returns a new socket for each TCP client.
- Server must likely handle many sockets at once:
 - Can create a new thread per socket.
 - Can use non-blocking IO to busy-wait checking for ready sockets
 - Can use epoll() or select() to have kernel monitor sockets